



## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
08/993,442	12/18/97	JENNINGS		E	9396	-040310
Г			$\neg$	EXAMINER		
ROBERT C COL TOWNSEND AND		LM02/0513	·	NGO, C.	п	PAPER NUMBER
	ERO CENTER			2787 DATE MAIL	ED:	5
				0		13/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

Office Action Summary    Examiner   Group Art Un   2787	MAILING DATE SIX (6) MONTHS sidered timely. iication . § 133).		
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence.  Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE	MAILING DATE SIX (6) MONTHS sidered timely. iication . § 133).		
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE	MAILING DATE  SIX (6) MONTHS sidered timely. sication . § 133).		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE	SIX (6) MONTHS sidered timely. sication . § 133).		
<ul> <li>OF THIS COMMUNICATION.</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered. If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § Status</li> <li>▼Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 − 18 − 9 7</li> <li>□ This action is FINAL.</li> <li>□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is default.</li> </ul>	SIX (6) MONTHS sidered timely. sication . § 133).		
from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be consited. If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. Status  Responsive to communication(s) filled on 12 - 18 - 9 T  This action is FINAL.  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is a second content of the second content of th	sidered timely. sication . § 133).		
<ul> <li>□ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12-18-9-7</li> <li>□ This action is FINAL.</li> <li>□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is a condition for allowance except for formal matters.</li> </ul>	·		
<ul> <li>☐ This action is FINAL.</li> <li>☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is an except for formal matters.</li> </ul>	·		
<ul> <li>□ This action is FINAL.</li> <li>□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is an except for formal matters.</li> </ul>			
	closed in		
Disposition of Claims			
♥Claim(s) is/are pending in the a	application.		
Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from	n consideration.		
□ Claim(s)is/are allowed.	is/are allowed.		
☐ Claim(s) — /- 4 is/are rejected.	is/are rejected.		
□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
□ Claim(s) are subject to restricti	ion or election		
Application Papers requirement.			
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.			
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.			
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)			
<ul> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been</li> <li>□ received.</li> </ul>			
□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) □ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).			
*Certified copies not received:			
Attachment(s)			
#Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	<b>.</b>		
	☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152		
□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 □ Other			
Office Action Summary			

-2-

Serial No. 08/993,442

Art Unit: 2787

## **DETAIL OF ACTION**

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Arambepola (4,879,559).

Arambepola discloses, for example in figures 3, 5 and 6, the same integrated circuit for operating with a memory (29), having an embedded processor, an array of processors (37) including a plurality of multiply/accumulator (43) and a shared operand circuit (39, and elements 45 and 46 with inherent control circuit for controlling the memory access would correspond to an interface circuit with a wire bundle as claimed.

Serial No. 08/993,442

Art Unit: 2787

4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arambepola (4,879,559).

-3-

It is noted that Arambepola does not specifically disclose the wire bundle comprises at least 256 wires. However, the wire bundle in Arambepola would obviously exceed 256 wires by the parallel connections between MACs (43) and multiplexer (46).

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
  - a. Crump et al., 5,557,759.
  - b. Ierton, 5,790,880.
  - c. Deering et al., 5,745,125.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Chuong D. Ngo whose telephone number is (703) 305-9764.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3800.

The fax number for this Group is (703) 308-9051 or 9052.

Chuong D. Ngo

Unfigo

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2787

06-07-99.